

Solar-Driven Z-scheme Water Splitting Using Modified BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N Solid Solutions as Photocatalysts

Kazuhiko Maeda,*^{,†,‡} Daling Lu,[§] and Kazunari Domen^{*,||}

[†]Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1-NE-2 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8550, Japan

[‡]Precursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology (PRESTO), Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), 4-1-8 Honcho Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan

[§]Center for Advanced Materials Analysis, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8550, Japan ^{||}Department of Chemical System Engineering, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A two-step photocatalytic water splitting (Z-scheme) system consisting of a modified BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N solid solution, a paired photocatalyst, and a reversible donor/acceptor pair (i.e., redox mediator) was constructed. BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N (Zr/Ta = 0.05) having a band gap of 1.8 eV has sufficient potential to reduce and oxidize water by absorbing visible photons of up to 660 nm. Upon suitable modification of this material with nanoparticulate cocatalysts, both H₂ and O₂ were evolved individually in the presence of reversible redox couples (viz., IO₃⁻/I⁻ and Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺), although O₂ evolution from aqueous solution containing Fe³⁺ was negligible. Among various combinations tested, BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N modified with Pt nanoparticles was the most suitable for H₂ evolution in the presence of an IO₃⁻/I⁻ pair, achieving Z-scheme water splitting into H₂ and O₂ in combination with either PtO_x/WO₃ or TiO₂ rutile as an O₂ evolution photocatalyst even under simulated sunlight.



KEYWORDS: cocatalyst, heterogeneous photocatalysis, hydrogen production, oxynitride, solar energy conversion, water splitting, Z-scheme

1. INTRODUCTION

Water splitting on a particulate photocatalyst is one of the most suitable choices toward large-scale solar to hydrogen energy conversion.¹ Recently, photocatalytic water splitting driven by a two-step photoexcitation using two different semiconductor photocatalysts, which is the so-called Z-scheme water splitting, has been studied as a means of producing H₂ from water utilizing a wide range of visible light.² Since Bard introduced the concept of Z-scheme water splitting in 1979,³ many efforts have been made to construct such systems, with a focus on the development of both new materials and effective electron relays.³⁻¹⁷ From the viewpoint of solar energy conversion, it is important to develop a Z-scheme system that exhibits high quantum yield at a given wavelength and operates under a wide range of the solar spectrum.¹ While several Z-scheme watersplitting systems have been developed, a satisfactory system has not been devised so far.

Our group has studied (oxy)nitrides as potential photocatalysts for Z-scheme water splitting. The most advantageous aspect of (oxy)nitrides for application in the Z-scheme is that they are capable of harvesting a wide range of visible photons, depending on the compositions. Among (oxy)nitrides examined, BaTaO₂N has the longest absorption edge that can harvest up to 660 nm photons, working as a H₂ evolution photocatalyst in the presence of an IO_3^-/I^- shuttle redox mediator.¹¹ Very recently, activation of BaTaO₂N to improve photocatalytic activity for H₂ evolution from water was achieved by forming a solid solution with BaZrO₃.¹⁶ The improvement is attributable to the increased driving force for the redox reactions and the reduced density of defects, which minimizes the undesirable electron—hole recombination. It has also proven that a BaZrO₃—BaTaO₂N (Zr/Ta \leq 0.1) solid solution having a single phase perovskite structure is capable of reducing and oxidizing water even under >660 nm irradiation.¹⁸ However, water reduction and oxidation using BaZrO₃— BaTaO₂N in the presence of reversible electron donor/acceptor pairs for application in Z-scheme water splitting have yet to be investigated in detail.

This paper reports a more systematic study on $BaZrO_3$ – BaTaO₂N solid solution as a photocatalyst not only for H₂ evolution but also for O₂ evolution in Z-scheme water splitting. Solar-driven Z-scheme water splitting is also demonstrated for the first time using an oxynitride material as a building block for Z-scheme water splitting.

Received:February 27, 2013Revised:March 30, 2013Published:April 5, 2013

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials and Reagents. A $BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ solid solution (Zr/Ta = 0.05 by mole) was prepared according to a method reported previously.¹⁸ WO₃ (High Purity Chemicals, 99.99%), TiO₂ Rutile (Aldrich, 99.99%), TaON, and BiVO₄ were employed as O₂ evolution photocatalysts for two-step water splitting. TaON, $ZrO_2/TaON$ (Zr/Ta = 0.1), and BiVO₄ were prepared according to previous methods.^{13,19} Figure 1 shows UV–visible diffuse reflectance spectra of these samples.



Figure 1. Diffuse reflectance spectra of the particulate photocatalysts used in this study.

RuCl₃·nH₂O (Kanto Chemicals, 99.9%), RhCl₃·xH₂O (Aldrich, 38–40% Rh), (NH₄)₂PdCl₄ (Kanto Chemicals, 37% Pd), Na₂IrCl₆·6H₂O (Kanto Chemicals, 97% Ir), H₂PtCl₆·2H₂O (Kanto Chemicals, 97% Pt), and HAuCl₄·4H₂O (Kanto Chemicals, 99.0%) were used as cocatalyst precursors for BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N and some O₂ evolution photocatalysts. NaI (Kanto Chemicals, reagent grade), NaIO₃ (Kanto Chemicals, reagent grade), NaIO₃ (Kanto Chemicals, 99.0%) were employed as redox reagents. All chemicals were used without further purification.

2.2. Modification with Cocatalysts. Modification of $BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ with nanoparticulate metal cocatalysts was accomplished by impregnation to improve the water reduction activity. A 0.2–0.3 g portion of $BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ powder was immersed in an aqueous solution (2–3 mL) containing various amounts of metal precursor in a water bath. After drying the solution, the resulting powder was collected, and heated in H₂ gas flow (20 mL·min⁻¹) at 473 K for 1 h.

For IrO₂ loading, a colloidal IrO₂ solution was first prepared by hydrolysis of Na_2IrCl_6 according to the previous method with some modification.²⁰ Briefly, 0.016 g of Na_2IrCl_6 was dissolved in 50 mL of H₂O, and the pH of the solution was adjusted to 11-12 with aqueous NaOH solution. The solution was heated at 353 K for 0.5 h, and then cooled to room temperature by immersion in an ice-water bath. The pH of the cooled solution was then adjusted slowly to 9 with aqueous HNO₃ solution. Subsequent heating at 353 K for 0.5 h resulted in a deep blue solution containing colloidal IrO₂, which was diluted to 50 mL in total volume. A 0.12-0.13 g portion of a sample was then dispersed in the as-prepared colloidal IrO₂ solution of about 30 mL (1.5 wt % with respect to catalyst mass), with some dilution. The suspension was subject to agitation for 1 h at room temperature, followed by centrifugation to collect residual solids. UV-visible spectroscopy showed that in all cases, there was no absorption signal derived from IrO₂ colloids in the supernatant solution thusobtained, indicating that quantitative adsorption of IrO_2 was achieved in the present IrO_2 concentration range examined. The IrO_2 -loaded samples were then dried in an oven at 343 K overnight.

For WO₃ and TaON, PtO_x and RuO₂ were respectively loaded as reaction promoters for O₂ evolution, as in previous reports.^{7d,12,17} PtO_x (0.5 wt % as Pt)-loaded WO₃ was prepared by immersing WO₃ in aqueous H₂PtCl₆ solution, followed by calcination in air at 823 K for 0.5 h. RuO₂ (0.5 wt %)-loaded TaON was prepared in a similar manner, using RuCl₃ as the precursor, followed by calcination in air at 573 K for 1 h.

2.3. Characterization of Catalysts. The prepared samples were studied by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD; RINT-UltimaIII, Rigaku; Cu K α), UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS; V-560, JASCO), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS; JPS-9000, JEOL), and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM; JEM-2010F, Jeol). The binding energies determined by XPS were corrected by reference to the C 1s peak (284.6 eV) for each sample. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area was measured using a BELSORP-mini instrument (BEL Japan) at liquid nitrogen temperature (77 K).

2.4. Photocatalytic Reactions. Reactions were carried out in a Pyrex top-irradiation reaction vessel connected to a glass closed gas circulation system. Unless otherwise stated, metalloaded BaZrO3-BaTaO2N as an H2 evolution photocatalyst (50 mg) and an O_2 evolution photocatalyst (100 mg) were suspended using a magnetic stirrer in aqueous solutions (100 mL) containing different concentrations of a redox mediator. The pH of the solutions was controlled by adding aqueous H₂SO₄ or NaOH if necessary. For individual H₂ and O₂ evolution, BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N modified with a cocatalyst (50 mg) was dispersed in aqueous solution (100 mL) containing electron donors (I⁻ or Fe²⁺) of acceptors (IO₃⁻ or Fe³⁺) in a similar manner but without pH adjustment. The reactant solutions were evacuated several times to completely remove any air prior to irradiation under a 300 W xenon lamp. The irradiation wavelength was controlled by a combination of a cold mirror (CM-1) and a water filter (350 < λ < 800 nm). For visible light irradiation, a cutoff filter (L42) was fitted to the above-mentioned light source (420 < λ < 800 nm). The spectral feature of this light source can be found elsewhere.²¹ The reactant solution was maintained at room temperature by a water bath during the reaction. The evolved gases were analyzed by gas chromatography (Shimadzu, GC-8A with TCD detector and MS-5A column, argon carrier gas). The reproducibility of the gas evolution rate was typically within 10-15% under a given set of reaction conditions.

Solar energy conversion efficiency (η) was calculated according to the following equation:

$$\eta(\%) = R_{\rm H} \cdot \Delta G^0 / (P \cdot S) \times 100 \tag{1}$$

where $R_{\rm H\nu} \Delta G^0$, *P*, and *S* indicate the rate of hydrogen evolution (mol·s⁻¹) in Z-scheme water splitting, standard Gibbs energy of water (237.13 × 10³ J·mol⁻¹), the intensity of simulated sunlight (100 mW·cm⁻²) from a solar simulator (Asahi Spectra, HAL-320) and irradiation area (16 cm²), respectively. The irradiance of the solar simulator almost (ca. 95%) corresponds to that of AM1.5G.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Structural Characterization of BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N. As the physicochemical character of the as-prepared BaZrO₃– BaTaO₂N solid solution has been reported previously,¹⁸ the results of structural characterization on this material are included in Supporting Information. XRD analysis showed that the as-prepared sample exhibited a single-phase perovskite structure similar to BaTaO₂N (Supporting Information, Figure S1). The DRS spectrum of BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N ranges from 50 to 200 nm with some aggregation, as shown in Supporting Information, Figure S1. The specific surface area determined by nitrogen adsorption at liquid nitrogen temperature (77 K) was about 6.5 m²·g⁻¹.

3.2. Effect of Modification of BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N with Metal Cocatalysts on Z-scheme Water Splitting Activity. First, we examined the effect of modification of BaZrO₃– BaTaO₂N with various metal cocatalysts on Z-scheme water splitting from aqueous NaI solution (1.0 mM) in the presence of PtO_x/WO₃ as an O₂ evolution photocatalyst, because unmodified BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N did not work as a H₂ evolution photocatalyst. The metals (Ru, Rh, Pd, Ir, Pt, and Au) tested as cocatalysts have been reported to function as a H₂ evolution site on a heterogeneous photocatalyst for water splitting.^{22,23} It is also noted that, although both BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N and WO₃ have absorption bands near 660 and 450 nm, respectively (Figure 1), the reactions were conducted under ultraviolet (UV) and visible irradiation ($350 < \lambda < 800$ nm) to utilize more photons to obtain more products. As listed in Table 1,

Table 1. Effect of Modification of $BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ with Various Metal Cocatalysts (0.3 wt %) on Z-scheme Water Splitting Activities in Combination with PtO_x/WO_3 and IO_3^-/I^- Shuttle Redox Mediator^{*a*}

| | | amount of evolved gases for 3 h/μ mol | |
|-------|------------|---|----------------|
| entry | cocatalyst | H ₂ | O ₂ |
| 1 | None | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Ru | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Rh | 0.3 | 0 |
| 4 | Pd | 0.1 | 0 |
| 5 | Ir | 0.3 | 0 |
| 6 | Pt | 67.4 | 27.9 |
| 7 | Au | 0 | 0 |

^aReaction conditions: catalyst, 100 mg of PtO_x/WO₃ and 50 mg of metal-loaded BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N; aqueous NaI solution, 100 mL (1.0 mM); light source, xenon lamp (300 W) fitted with a cold mirror (CM-1); reaction vessel, Pyrex top-irradiation type; irradiation wavelength, 350 < λ < 800 nm.

simultaneous H₂ and O₂ evolution was achieved only when Pt was loaded as a cocatalyst, indicating the occurrence of water splitting reaction. The water-splitting reaction was initiated by photooxidation of I⁻ into IO₃⁻ and photoreduction of H⁺ into H₂ on Pt/BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N catalyst, after which photoreduction of IO₃⁻ into I⁻ and photooxidation of H₂O into O₂ occurred on PtO_x/WO₃ catalyst as follows.

$$I^- + 6h^+ + 6OH^- \rightarrow IO_3^- + 3H_2O$$

(photooxidation of I^- into IO_3^-) (2)

 $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2$ (photoreduction of H^+ into H_2) (3)

$$IO_3^- + 6e^- + 3H_2O \rightarrow I^- + 6OH^-$$

(photoreduction of IO_3^- into I^-) (4)

$$4OH^{-} + 4h^{+} \rightarrow O_{2} + 2H_{2}O$$
(photooxidation of H₂O into O₂) (5)

Because Pt was found to be the most effective cocatalyst among those examined (Table 1, entry 6), the effect of Pt-loading amount onto $BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ was investigated. Changing the amount of Pt loaded onto the $BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ had a significant impact on the water splitting rate, as shown in Figure 2. With increasing Pt loading, the rates of H₂ and O₂ evolution



Figure 2. Dependence of the rates of H₂ and O₂ evolution over a mixture of PtO_x/WO_3 and $Pt/BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ on the loading amount of Pt. Reaction conditions: catalyst, 100 mg of PtO_x/WO_3 and 50 mg of $Pt/BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$; aqueous NaI solution, 100 mL (1.0 mM); light source, xenon lamp (300 W) fitted with a cold mirror (CM-1) and a cutoff filter (L42); reaction vessel, Pyrex top-irradiation type; irradiation wavelength, 420 < λ < 800 nm.

both increased abruptly, reaching a maximum at around 0.3-0.5 wt %, then decreasing. In addition, the H_2/O_2 ratio of the products was close to 2 when the loading amount was in the optimal range. The abrupt increase in Z-scheme water splitting activity after Pt-loading on $BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ suggests that the relatively inefficient step for this reaction is the water reduction process.

Thus, the amount of Pt cocatalyst loading was an important factor determining the efficiency of the two-step water splitting system consisting of Pt/BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N and PtO_x/WO₃ in the presence of an IO_3^{-}/I^{-} shuttle redox mediator. The observed trend between water-splitting rate and Pt-loading is very similar to those observed in similar Z-scheme systems using Pt-loaded semiconductors as H₂ evolution photocatalysts.^{10b,13b} Increasing the loading amount of Pt results in an increase in the density of active sites for H₂ evolution, while the decrease in activity with higher Pt loading is likely to be associated with aggregation of Pt nanoparticles on BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N, which can reduce the active surface available for H₂ evolution, thereby contributing to a decrease in activity. HR-TEM images of the same samples are shown in Supporting Information, Figure S2. Pt nanoparticles smaller than 2 nm were dispersed in the optimized catalyst.

The electronic state of the loaded Pt species on $BaZrO_3$ -BaTaO₂N was thus investigated by XPS. According to our previous report, Pt species introduced by impregnation followed by H₂ reduction at 473 K are almost entirely metallic, but somewhat electron-deficient.^{13b} As shown in Supporting Information, Figure S3, the peak position of $4f_{7/2}$ electrons in the prepared catalyst is slightly higher than that in a Pt foil reference, but not as much as Pt^{II}O species appearing at binding energies of about 73.0–73.5 eV ($4f_{7/2}$ electrons),²⁴ consistent with our previous report.^{13b}

3.4. Effect of O_2 Evolution Photocatalyst and Redox Mediator. Table 2 lists the activities of Z-scheme water

Table 2. Effect of O₂ Evolution Photocatalyst and Redox Mediator on Z-scheme Water Splitting Activities Using 0.3 wt % Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N as a H₂ Evolution Photocatalyst^a

| | | | amou evolved for 3 h | int of d gases n/µmol |
|----------------|---|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| entry | paired O_2 evolution photocatalyst | reactant solution | H ₂ | O ₂ |
| 1 | PtO_x/WO_3 | NaI 1.0 mM | 67.4 | 27.9 |
| 2 | TiO ₂ rutile | NaI 1.0 mM | 63.8 | 28.4 |
| 3 | $BiVO_4$ | NaI 1.0 mM | tr. | 0 |
| 4^b | $RuO_2/TaON$ | NaI 0.2 mM | 0.4 | tr. |
| 5 | PtO_x/WO_3 | FeCl ₂ 10 mM | tr. | 0 |
| 6 | BiVO ₄ | FeCl ₂ 10 mM | 0.5 | 0 |
| 7 | BiVO ₄ | FeCl ₂ 5.0 mM | 0.3 | 0 |
| 8 | $BiVO_4$ | FeCl ₂ 1.0 mM | 0.1 | 0 |
| 9 ^c | TiO ₂ rutile | FeCl ₂ 10 mM | 5.7 | tr. |

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: catalyst, 50 mg of Pt/BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N and 100 mg of an O₂ evolution photocatalyst; aqueous solution, 100 mL; light source, xenon lamp (300 W) fitted with a cold mirror (CM-1); reaction vessel, Pyrex top-irradiation type; irradiation wavelength, 350 < λ < 800 nm. ^{*b*}RuO₂/TaON 50 mg. ^{*c*}The time course data is displayed in Supporting Information, Figure S4.

splitting systems under UV and visible irradiation $(350 < \lambda < 800 \text{ nm})$ in which 0.3 wt % Pt-loaded BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N was used as a building block for H₂ evolution with various O₂ evolution photocatalysts (PtO_x/WO₃, TiO₂ rutile, RuO₂/TaON, or BiVO₄). When Pt/BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N and NaI were respectively employed as an H₂ evolution photocatalysts and an electron mediator, PtO_x/WO₃ and TiO₂ rutile photocatalysts achieved nearly stoichiometric H₂ and O₂ evolution (entries 1 and 2), indicating the occurrence of water splitting. On the other hand, BiVO₄ and RuO₂/TaON did not produce appreciable H₂ and O₂ evolution (entries 3 and 4).

It has been reported that an Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ redox couple acts as an effective electron mediator for some two-step water splitting systems consisting of Rh-doped SrTiO₃ (H₂ evolution system) and an O_2 evolution photocatalyst such as BiVO₄ or WO₃.^{9a} Interestingly, however, the combination of Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N with either PtO_x/WO₃ or BiVO₄ did not produce any appreciable H₂ and O₂ from an aqueous solution containing Fe^{2+} as an electron donor (entries 5–8). In contrast, employing TiO₂ rutile resulted in observable H_2 and O_2 evolution, although the rates were relatively slow (entry 9) in the initial 3 h of reaction, compared to an analogous system using an IO_3^{-}/I^{-} redox mediator (entry 2). In this case, there was an induction period for O_2 evolution, with a large deviation in the ratio of H_2/O_2 from the stoichiometry, in which Fe³⁺, the oxidation product by the valence band holes in Pt/BaZrO₃- $BaTaO_2N$, was presumably accumulated in the solution up to a certain level. The H_2/O_2 ratio gradually became stoichiometric with reaction time, although it does not exactly meet the

stoichiometry (Supporting Information, Figure S4). Simultaneous H₂ and O₂ evolution was not achievable if one component of the system (either Pt/BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N, TiO₂ rutile, FeCl₂, or light) is absent. These results strongly suggest that Z-scheme water splitting proceeds over a mixture of Pt/BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N and TiO₂ rutile in the presence of an Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ redox mediator under irradiation. This is the first example of achieving simultaneous H₂ and O₂ evolution from water containing an Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ redox mediator using an oxynitride material. TiO₂ rutile is known to possess unique photocatalytic activity for water oxidation even in the presence of electron-donating species such as Fe²⁺ and I⁻,^{6b,7d} which would lead to the successful water splitting in combination with Pt/BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N in the presence of an Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ redox mediator. The whole scheme can be described as follows:

$$Fe^{2+} + h^+ \rightarrow Fe^{3+}$$
 (photooxidation of Fe^{2+} into Fe^{3+})
(6)

 $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2$ (photoreduction of H^+ into H_2) (7)

$$Fe^{3+} + e^- \rightarrow Fe^{2+}$$
 (photoreduction of Fe^{3+} into Fe^{2+})
(8)

$$4OH^{-} + 4h^{+} \rightarrow O_{2} + 2H_{2}O$$
(photooxidation of H₂O into O₂) (9)

Thus, the strong effects of the paired O_2 evolution photocatalyst and redox mediator on Z-scheme water splitting using Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N were highlighted. It was thought that these characteristic behaviors in reactivity with respect to O₂ evolution photocatalysts and redox mediators arise primarily from the different reactivity of Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N with the redox mediators employed. To examine this possibility, H₂ evolution by Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N alone in the presence of NaI or FeCl₂ as an electron donor was investigated. Figure 3 shows the time courses of H₂ evolution from aqueous NaI and FeCl₂ solution (10 mM) using Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N. As reported previously, Pt/BaZrO3-BaTaO2N photocatalytically produces H₂ from an aqueous solution containing NaI as an electron donor.¹⁶ However, the rate of H₂ evolution decreases as the reaction proceeds. This deactivation is ascribed to the backward reaction (photoreduction of IO₃⁻ by photogenerated electrons), which takes place in the conduction band of the catalyst.^{2b} When I⁻ is used as an electron donor for H_2 evolution with Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N, IO₃⁻ is produced by the photooxidation of I⁻ by valence band holes. As IO_3^- is more susceptible to reduction than H^+ (IO₃⁻/I⁻, +0.67 V; H⁺/ H_{2} , -0.41 V vs NHE at pH 7), H_2 evolution ceases when the concentration of IO_3^- reaches a certain level.^{2b} When the reaction was carried out in the presence of both I⁻ (10 mM) and IO_3^- (1.0 mM), the rate of H_2 evolution decreased markedly, providing evidence for the above competitive model. Similar behavior has been observed for some Pt-loaded photocatalysts such as anatase-TiO₂, SrTiO₃:Cr/Ta, TaON, and $ZrO_2/TaON$ in H_2 evolution from aqueous NaI solution.^{7,10,11,13b} The H_2 evolution behavior from aqueous FeCl₂ solution was similar to the NaI case, indicating Pt/ BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N is able to reduce H^+ into H_2 using Fe²⁺ as an electron donor.

It was shown that $Pt/BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ has the ability to oxidize both I⁻ and Fe²⁺. Nevertheless, it was difficult to achieve simultaneous H₂ and O₂ evolution in the presence of an



Figure 3. Time courses of H₂ evolution over 0.3 wt % Pt/BaZrO₃– BaTaO₂N from NaI and FeCl₂ solution. Reaction conditions: catalyst, 50 mg; aqueous solution, 100 mL (10 mM); light source, xenon lamp (300 W) fitted with a cold mirror (CM-1); reaction vessel, Pyrex topirradiation type; irradiation wavelength, $350 < \lambda < 800$ nm.

 Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} redox couple (except for the TiO_2 rutile case), as shown in Table 2 (entries 5-9). The reactivity of the valence band holes in Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N with Fe²⁺ was examined by changing the concentration of Fe^{2+} . Taking the Pt/BaZrO₃- $BaTaO_2N + BiVO_4$ system for example, the H₂ evolution rate increased with increasing the concentration of FeCl₂ from 1.0 to 10 mM (entries 6-8, Table 2). The increase in FeCl₂ concentration leads in turn to the competitive oxidation of Fe²⁺ on O_2 evolution photocatalysts (the backward reaction of eq 8), making it difficult to achieve water oxidation and the resulting stoichiometric H₂ and O₂ evolution. As will be displayed later, on the other hand, increasing the concentration of NaI from 0 to 0.5 mM resulted in a sharp increase in H₂ evolution (also O₂ evolution in this case) to reach a plateau at 0.5-2.0 mM concentration range. These results suggest that the oxidation of Fe²⁺ by holes in the valence band of Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N is relatively slow, while that of I⁻ is efficient, even though the oxidation potential of Fe^{2+} (+0.77 V vs NHE at pH = 0) is more negative than that of I^- (+1.08 V vs NHE at pH = 0). The successful conversion of I⁻ into IO₃⁻ by Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N allowed for simultaneous H₂ and O₂ evolution in combination with O2 evolution photocatalysts that are active for the reduction of $\mathrm{IO_3^-}$ into $\mathrm{I^-}$ under band gap irradiation. Negligible O2 evolution was observed from RuO2/TaON and BiVO₄ because water oxidation by these materials is significantly suppressed in the presence of I⁻, even at the low levels resulting from the preferential oxidation of $I^{-,\,7d,12}$ Therefore, Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N is a useful component for H₂ evolution in the two-step water splitting with an IO_3^{-}/I^{-} redox mediator.

3.5. Effect of Nal Concentration and pH. The effect of reaction conditions was further investigated using Pt/BaZrO₃– BaTaO₂N in combination with either PtO₃/WO₃ or TiO₂

rutile, which were relatively active combinations (entries 1 and 2, Table 2). Figure 4 shows the time course of H_2 and O_2



Figure 4. Time course of H₂ and O₂ evolution over a mixture of 0.3 wt % Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N and PtO_x/WO₃. Reaction conditions: catalyst, 100 mg of PtO_x/WO₃ and 50 mg of Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N; aqueous NaI solution, 100 mL (1.0 mM); light source, xenon lamp (300 W) fitted with a cold mirror (CM-1) and a cutoff filter (L42); reaction vessel, Pyrex top-irradiation type; irradiation wavelength, 420 < λ < 800 nm.

evolution for a mixture of 0.3 wt % Pt/BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N and PtO_x/WO₃ from 1.0 mM aqueous NaI solution under visible light (420 < λ < 800 nm). There was an induction period at the beginning of the reaction, after which the rates of H₂ and O₂ evolution became almost constant. As discussed above, the induction period may be due to the accumulation of IO₃⁻ in the solution up to a certain level.^{7d} The steady rates of H₂ and O₂ evolution recorded at different NaI concentrations are plotted in Figure 5. No gas evolution was observed in the absence of



Figure 5. Dependence of the rates of H₂ and O₂ evolution over a mixture of PtO_x/WO_3 and 0.3 wt % $Pt/BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ on the concentration of NaI. Reaction conditions: catalyst, 100 mg of PtO_x/WO_3 and 50 mg of $Pt/BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$; aqueous NaI solution, 100 mL; light source, xenon lamp (300 W) fitted with a cold mirror (CM-1) and a cutoff filter (L42); reaction vessel, Pyrex top-irradiation type; irradiation wavelength, 420 < λ < 800 nm.

NaI. With increasing NaI concentration, the rates of H_2 and O_2 evolution both improved significantly, reaching a maximum at around 1–2 mM with nearly stoichiometric H_2/O_2 ratio. However, further increase in NaI concentration to 5.0 mM resulted in activity-drop.

As mentioned earlier, the relatively inefficient step for this reaction system was the water reduction process on Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N catalysts. Therefore, the appreciable enhancement of gas evolution rates was due to the promotion of H₂ evolution on Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N catalysts by the

addition of NaI. However, excess NaI addition had a negative effect on activity, along with a pronounced deviation in the H_2/O_2 ratio. Previous studies demonstrated that the competitive oxidation of I⁻ (backward reaction of eq 4) with water oxidation (eq 5) occurs on PtO_x/WO₃ when the concentration of I⁻ becomes high, thereby decreasing the rate of O₂ evolution.^{7d,10b} As a result, the water-splitting rate gradually decreased with increasing NaI concentration above the 1–2 mM range.

It has also been reported that the pH of the reactant solution affects the overall efficiency of Z-scheme water splitting in the presence of an IO_3^-/I^- shuttle redox mediator, as does the concentration of NaI.^{7d,10b} Because WO₃ is not suitable for pHdependent experiments due to the inherent instability in basic solution,^{7d} TiO₂ rutile, which has excellent chemical stability in both acidic and basic solution, was employed alternatively as an O2 evolution photocatalyst. Supporting Information, Figure S5 shows the water splitting activities recorded using a mixture of Pt/BaZrO3-BaTaO2N and TiO2 rutile from aqueous NaI solution (1.0 mM) at various pH conditions. The activity was enhanced as the pH increased from 4.0 to 6.3, beyond which it began to drop gradually. The highest activity was obtained at pH 6.3 (without control), different from that recorded in a similar two-step water splitting system consisting of Pt/TiO₂ anatase and TiO₂ rutile. Abe et al. have reported that Z-scheme water splitting is achievable using a mixture of Pt/TiO₂ anatase and TiO₂ rutile in the presence of an IO_3^{-}/I^{-} shuttle redox mediator.^{7a,d} In this system, a basic reaction condition (pH 11) was the most suitable because the oxidation of I⁻ into IO₃⁻ by Pt/TiO₂ anatase occurs efficiently. As the pH decreased, in contrast, the oxidation product tended to be I_3^- (see below, eq 10), which cannot work as an efficient electron acceptor for water oxidation over TiO₂ rutile.

$$3I^- + 2h^+ \rightarrow I_3^-$$
 (photooxidation of I^- into I_3^-) (10)

An activity drop observed in the present study from 6.3 to 4.0 would thus be due to more accumulation of I_3^- , as supported by the fact that the H_2/O_2 ratio in pH 4.0 was larger than that in pH 6.3 and that the color of the supernatant solution after reaction was pale brown, indicative of the production of I_3^- . I_3^- ions are also converted into I^- and IO_3^- via the following disproportional reaction:²⁵

$$3I_3^- + 3H_2O \rightarrow 8I^- + IO_3^- + 6H^+$$
 (11)

This reaction proceeds more smoothly in basic reaction condition than in acidic condition. As a result, the H_2/O_2 ratio in the reaction products would become larger than stoichiometric, even at the optimal NaI concentration. A drop in activity was also observed when the reaction pH for the Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N + PtO_x/WO₃ system was increased from 6.3 to 7.1 (data not shown). Thus, this characteristic pH dependent activity is ascribed to Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N. Anyway, it appears that choosing nearly neutral pH is favorable for enhancing the activity of Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N as a H_2 evolution photocatalyst in Z-scheme water splitting.

3.6. Application of $BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ as an O_2 Evolution Photocatalyst. Because $BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ modified with colloidal IrO₂ as an O₂ evolution cocatalyst is capable of photocatalytically oxidizing water to produce O₂ in the presence of Ag⁺ as an electron acceptor,¹⁸ it is expected that water oxidation using this material is achievable even in a nonsacrificial manner, namely, using either IO₃⁻ or Fe³⁺ as a reversible electron acceptor. Thus, we examined water oxidation activities of $BaZrO_3$ - $BaTaO_2N$ modified with various cocatalysts in aqueous $NaIO_3$ solution, and the results are listed in Table 3. $BaZrO_3$ - $BaTaO_2N$ was inactive for water oxidation

Table 3. O₂ Evolution Activities of Modified BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N Photocatalysts from Aqueous NaIO₃ Solution^a

| entry | cocatalyst | reactant solution | amount of evolved O_2 for 10 h/ μ mol |
|-------|--|---|--|
| 1 | none | NaIO ₃ 10 mM | 0 |
| 2 | 1.5 wt % IrO_2 | NaIO ₃ 10 mM | 0 |
| 3 | 0.5 wt % Ru | NaIO ₃ 10 mM | 0 |
| 4 | 0.5 wt % Pt | NaIO ₃ 10 mM | 0 |
| 5 | 0.5 wt % Ir | NaIO ₃ 10 mM | 0 |
| 6 | 1.5 wt % IrO ₂ + 0.5 wt % Ru | NaIO ₃ 10 mM | 3.2 |
| 7 | 1.5 wt % IrO ₂ + 0.5 wt % Pt | NaIO ₃ 10 mM | 0 |
| 8 | 1.5 wt % IrO ₂ + 0.5 wt % Ir | NaIO ₃ 10 mM | 0 |
| 9 | 1.5 wt % IrO ₂ + 0.5 wt % Ru | NaIO ₃ 10 mM + NaI 1.0 mM | 1.4 |

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: catalyst, 50 mg; aqueous solution, 100 mL; light source, xenon lamp (300 W) fitted with a cold mirror (CM-1); reaction vessel, Pyrex top-irradiation type; irradiation wavelength, 350 < λ < 800 nm.

from aqueous NaIO₃ solution even under UV and visible irradiation (350 < λ < 800 nm), regardless of IrO₂ loading (entries 1 and 2, Table 3). Modification of BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N with Ru, Pt, or Ir species, which were reported to work as a reduction site for $IO_3^{-,12b,15,17}$ did not yield O_2 production (entries 3-5). Interestingly, however, combining an IrO₂ water oxidation cocatalyst and Ru resulted in observable O₂ evolution (entry 6), while neither Pt nor Ir worked even in combination with IrO_2 (entries 7 and 8). Here, the loading amount of IrO_2 was 1.5 wt %, as has been optimized in the previous study.^{18a} XPS analysis for BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N modified with Ru and IrO₂ showed that the valence state of the Ru species in this sample is close to Ru(IV) oxide (Supporting Information, Figure S6). Hence the Ru species loaded on BaZrO3-BaTaO2N will be referred to as RuO₂ hereafter. TEM images showed that the size of the loaded RuO₂ was \sim 2 nm (Supporting Information, Figure S7). Our previous study has revealed that RuO_2 on TaON works as a bifunctional cocatalyst for TaON to promote the reduction of IO_3^- and the oxidation of water in a two-step water splitting system in the presence of an IO_3^{-}/I^{-} redox mediator.^{12b} Thus, it is considered that in the present case, RuO₂ on BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N also undertakes the same job, but is likely to promote the reduction of IO_3^- rather than water oxidation, when combined with a colloidal IrO2 cocatalyst that is known as being one of the most efficient water oxidation catalysts. Presumably, the oxidation of I- would still be dominant on RuO₂/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N judging from the inactivity of this sample (entry 3). Thus, it is most likely that IrO₂ loading needs to be done to improve the selectivity of the photogenerated holes to water oxidation. When the reaction was carried out in the presence of both IO_3^- and I^- , the rate of O_2 evolution decreased (entry 9). It indicates that the undesirable oxidation of I- still occurs even after comodification with IrO₂.

While $BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ modified with RuO_2 and IrO_2 was active for nonsacrificial water oxidation using IO_3^- as an electron acceptor, Z-scheme water splitting by combining either

Pt/TiO₂ or Pt/ZrO₂/TaON, both of which are effective H₂ evolution photocatalysts in an IO₃⁻/I⁻ redox system,^{7a,d,13a,b} could not be achieved; only H₂ was produced moderately under UV and visible irradiation.²⁶ Taking Pt/ZrO₂/TaON for example, stoichiometric H₂ and O₂ evolution is achieved when PtO_x/WO₃ is used as an O₂ evolution photocatalyst in a similar manner.^{13a,b} Therefore, the inactivity of the IrO₂/RuO₂/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N-based system is ascribed to the low performance of the IrO₂/RuO₂/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N component. Photoelectrochemical measurements revealed that the valence and conduction band-edge positions of BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N straddle the water splitting potential, but the valence band maximum of BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N is located at a potential close to the water oxidation potential.^{18b} This might be one plausible explanation why BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N exhibits low activity for water oxidation.

3.7. Solar-Driven Z-scheme Water Splitting Using **BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N**. On the basis of the results discussed above, it is concluded that $BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ is more suitable as a H₂ evolution photocatalyst than for O₂ evolution at least in the present stage. The as-optimized Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N for H₂ evolution in the presence of an IO_3^-/I^- redox couple was then examined under simulated sunlight in combination with PtO_x/WO₃ and TiO₂ rutile as O₂ evolution photocatalysts. Solar energy conversion efficiency is the most reliable measure of a given photoactive system, as it can be compared to any of solar-driven energy transducers.

Figure 6 shows time courses of solar-driven Z-scheme water splitting. Both systems produced H_2 and O_2 stoichiometrically



Figure 6. Time courses of solar-driven H₂ and O₂ evolution over a mixture of 0.3 wt % Pt/BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N and either PtO_x/WO₃ and TiO₂ rutile. Reaction conditions: catalyst, 100 mg of PtO_x/WO₃ (or TiO₂ rutile) and 50 mg of Pt/BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N; aqueous NaI solution, 100 mL (1.0 mM); light source, solar simulator; reaction vessel, Pyrex top-irradiation type.

upon simulated sunlight, giving solar energy conversion efficiencies of 0.0067% for the $Pt/BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N + Pt/WO_3$ system and 0.014% for the $Pt/BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N + TiO_2$ rutile system, respectively. Thus, solar energy conversion using a $BaZrO_3-BaTaO_2N$ photocatalyst through Z-scheme water splitting was achieved.

It should be noted that H_2-O_2 recombination (eq 12 below), which is thermodynamically favorable, takes place on Pt/BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N during the reaction.

$$2H_2 + O_2 \rightarrow 2H_2O \tag{12}$$

This was confirmed by testing the water formation reaction from a mixture of H_2 and O_2 in the dark using Pt/BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N. As shown in Supporting Information, Figure S8, the

amounts of H₂ and O₂ in a closed-gas circulation system containing Pt/BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N in aqueous NaI solution both decreased with time while maintaining the stoichiometric ratio. In contrast, no H₂–O₂ consumption was observed on PtO_x/WO₃ and TiO₂ rutile. These results indicate that water formation occurs on a Pt/BaZrO₃–BaTaO₂N; more specifically on metallic Pt nanoparticles. For PtO_x/WO₃, Pt species in this material consist of Pt(II) oxide as the majority species, with a small contribution of metallic Pt species.^{10b,17} Thus, the water formation on PtO_x/WO₃ would be very slow. It is thus clear that, during photocatalytic water splitting, this undesirable reaction occurs, thereby reducing the detected rates of H₂ and O₂ evolution. Suppressing the backward reaction is another important task toward efficient Z-scheme water splitting.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We attempted to construct photocatalytic water splitting systems driven by two-step photoexcitation of two different semiconductor photocatalysts using a modified BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N solid solution with a band gap of 1.8 eV. Despite the low band gap energy to drive water reduction and oxidation, the solid solutions modified with suitable cocatalysts were shown to produce H_2 or O_2 in the presence of reversible redox couples (viz. IO_3^{-}/I^{-} and Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+}), achieving nonsacrificial water reduction or oxidation. Solar-driven Z-scheme water splitting was demonstrated using BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N modified with Pt nanoparticles as water reduction promoters, in combination with either PtO_x/WO_3 or TiO_2 rutile as an O_2 evolution photocatalyst in the presence of an IO_3^{-}/I^{-} shuttle redox mediator. Although Z-scheme water splitting using only BaZrO₃-BaTaO₂N has not been achieved so far due primarily to the insufficient quality of the material, the results of the present study clearly demonstrate the possibility of a nonsacrificial water splitting system consisting only of photocatalysts with band gaps smaller than 2 eV after further refinement of catalyst preparation to suppress the undesirable backward reactions.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Characterization and reaction data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Phone: +81-3-5734-2239 (K.M.), +81-3-5841-8838 (K.D.). Fax: +81-3-5734-2284 (K.M.), +81-3-5841-1652 (K.D.). Email: maedak@chem.titech.ac.jp (K.M.), domen@chemsys.t.utokyo.ac.jp (K.D.).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the PRESTO/JST program "Chemical Conversion of Light Energy". The authors would also like to acknowledge the Grant-in-Aid for Specially Promoted Research (No. 23000009) of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (MEXT) of Japan, and Advanced Low Carbon Technology Research and Development Program (ALCA) of the Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST). One of the authors (K.M.) thanks the Nippon Sheet Glass Foundation for Materials Science and Engineering for funding support.

REFERENCES

(1) Maeda, K.; Domen, K. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2010, 1, 2655.

(2) (a) Kudo, A.; Miseki, Y. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 253. (b) Abe, R. J. Photochem. Photobiol., C 2010, 11, 179. (c) Maeda, K. J. Photochem. Photobiol., C 2011, 12, 237. (d) Wang, Y.; Wang, X.; Antonietti, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 68.

(3) Bard, A. J. J. Photochem. 1979, 10, 59.

(4) Tennakone, K.; Wickramanayake, S. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 **1986**, 82, 1475.

(5) (a) Sayama, K.; Yoshida, R.; Kusama, H.; Okabe, K.; Abe, Y.; Arakawa, H. Chem. Phys. Lett. **1997**, 277, 387. (b) Bamwenda, G. R.; Sayama, K.; Arakawa, H. J. Photochem. Photobiol., A **1999**, 122, 175.

(6) (a) Fujihara, K.; Ohno, T.; Matsumura, M. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1998, 94, 3705. (b) Ohno, T.; Haga, D.; Fujihara, K.; Kaizaki, K.; Matsumura, M. J. Phys. Chem. B 1997, 101, 6415.

(7) (a) Abe, R.; Sayama, K.; Domen, K.; Arakawa, H. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2001, 344, 339. (b) Sayama, K.; Mukasa, K.; Abe, R.; Abe, Y.; Arakawa, H. Chem. Commun. 2001, 2416. (c) Sayama, K.; Mukasa, K.; Abe, R.; Abe, Y.; Arakawa, H. J. Photochem. Photobiol., A 2002, 148, 71.
(d) Abe, R.; Sayama, K.; Sugihara, H. J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 16052.

(8) Sayama, K.; Abe, R.; Arakawa, H.; Sugihara, H. *Catal. Commun.* 2006, *7*, 96.

(9) (a) Kato, H.; Hori, M.; Konta, R.; Shimodaira, Y.; Kudo, A. Chem. Lett. 2004, 33, 1348. (b) Kato, H.; Sasaki, Y.; Iwase, A.; Kudo, A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2007, 80, 2457. (c) Sasaki, Y.; Iwase, A.; Kato, H.; Kudo, A. J. Catal. 2008, 259, 133. (d) Sasaki, Y.; Nemoto, H.; Saito, K.; Kudo, A. J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 17536.

(10) (a) Abe, R; Takata, T.; Sugihara, H.; Domen, K. Chem. Commun. 2005, 3829. (b) Abe, R.; Higashi, M.; Domen, K. ChemSusChem 2011, 4, 228.

(11) (a) Higashi, M.; Abe, R.; Teramura, K.; Takata, T.; Ohtani, B.; Domen, K. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2008**, 452, 120. (b) Higashi, M.; Abe, R.; Takata, T.; Domen, K. *Chem. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 1543.

(12) (a) Higashi, M.; Abe, R.; Ishikawa, A.; Takata, T.; Ohtani, B.; Domen, K. *Chem. Lett.* **2008**, *37*, 138. (b) Maeda, K.; Abe, R.; Domen, K. J. Phys. Chem. C **2011**, *115*, 3057.

(13) (a) Maeda, K.; Terashima, H.; Kase, K.; Higashi, M.; Tabata, M.; Domen, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2008, 81, 927. (b) Maeda, K.; Higashi, M.; Lu, D.; Abe, R.; Domen, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 5858. (c) Ma, S. S. K.; Maeda, K.; Domen, K. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2012, 2, 818.

(14) Abe, R.; Shinmei, K.; Hara, K.; Ohtani, B. *Chem. Commun.* 2009, 3577.

(15) Tabata, M.; Maeda, K.; Higashi, M.; Lu, D.; Takata, T.; Abe, R.; Domen, K. *Langmuir* **2010**, *26*, 9161.

(16) Matoba, T.; Maeda, K.; Domen, K. Chem.—Eur. J. 2011, 17, 14731.

(17) Ma, S. S. K.; Maeda, K.; Abe, R.; Domen, K. Energy Environ. Sci. 2012, 5, 8390.

(18) (a) Maeda, K.; Domen, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51,

9865. (b) Maeda, K.; Domen, K. J. Catal. 2013, in press. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcat.2012.12.003.

(19) Iwase, A.; Kato, H.; Kudo, A. J. Sol. Energy Eng. 2010, 132, 021106.

(20) Harriman, A.; Pickering, I. J.; Thomas, J. M.; Christensen, P. A. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1988, 84, 2795.

(21) Maeda, K.; Higashi, M.; Siritanaratkul, B.; Abe, R.; Domen, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 12334.

(22) Teratani, S.; Nakamichi, J.; Taya, K.; Tanaka, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1982**, 55, 1688.

(23) (a) Iwase, A.; Kato, H.; Kudo, A. Catal. Lett. 2006, 108, 7. (b) Gärtner, F.; Losse, S.; Boddien, A.; Pohl, M.-M.; Denurra, S.;

Junge, H.; Beller, M. ChemSusChem 2012, 5, 530.

(24) Kim, K. S.; Winograd, N.; Davis, R. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 6296.

(25) Dushman, P. J. Phys. Chem. 1904, 8, 481.

(26) Pt/TiO₂ was prepared by an in-situ photodeposition method in a similar manner previously reported (Kraeutler, B.; Bard, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1978**, 100, 4317.). A TiO₂ powder (JRC-TIO-4), one of the most active TiO₂ photocatalysts for H₂ evolution (Prieto-Mahaney, O. O.; Murakami, N.; Abe, R.; Ohtani, B. Chem. Lett. **2009**, 38, 238), was supplied by The Catalysis Society of Japan.